

FACILITIES INSPECTION SECTION INSPECTION REPORT FORM

Name of	Company_	American	Chemical	Service	Со	Date	10-6-81*
City	Griffit	15	County	Lake	тт	elephone No	•
Pernons	Contacted	James	Tarpo, F	resident			
Purpose	of Innpec	tion Ro	outine				
Producti	n che	emicals					·
of 1	Employces_	35	Rocaiving	Stream	City	·····	
Source o	of water	wells		No. of	outfalla_	none	
Sewage o	diaponal	City		Waste	flow		
Permit 1	io	n/a	·	Certi	fied Opera	tor Tarpo	
Statuo:	<u> </u>	Adequate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Inadequa	to	Undet By	ermined-Submitted R. Cleaton

Description of production, unnufacturing operations, waste treatment facilities, liquid waste disposal, cooling water additives, boilar treatments, permit compliance. General remarks, comments, recommendations, conclusions.

This was a routine inspection of the plant and its waste water disposal practices. The Company still discharges through their pown private sewer to the City sewerage collection system. It was reported that there are still monor differences between the City and the Company concerning the nature of the discharge but these are infrequent.

This inppection was prompted by a phone cal from EPA V. EPA is concerned about the dumpong of chemicals onto the ground in the past and present. It has been years since I have made and inspection at this location and was happy to see that an extensive cleanup has been accomplished. As to the disposal of the drums of old chemicals I could gleam no information other than to find out that they had been disposed of correctly through the manifest system. I did not examine each an every manifest.

In past inspection reports of years ago I mentioned that the company had diposed of drums of chemiclas on the property, covered them and in the process crushed them. This area is now level and not evidence of such dumping is visible. I was told that the lagoon for collection where are moved and address of the cold drums of the cold dr

Waling around the premises it was found that all water treatment activities are excellent. No chemicals, water discharges were found on the grounds attesting to a past cleanup. At the North end of the property it was observed a reddish water lying on the ground probably from a recent rain mixing with and/or leaching past chemicals. It was a small area of less than 25 sq ft and appears to be minor.

No other problems were observed.

RCRA INSPECTION REPORT - INTERIM STATUS STANDARDS SUPPLEMENTAL FORM 5 FOR STORAGE FACILITY INSPECTIONS

I. General information

i) Fa	cility Name: american Chemical Service	 			
	reet: 420 S. Collas: Ave				
C) Ci F) Ņā	ty: Srffith (D) State: In te of Inspection: 11/4/80		_ (E) ZIP Code	4)319
	II. Storage Facility Standard	s (Pa	rt 26	5)	
	milities which store containers of hazardous waste (Sub	part	1)	•	
•		YES	NO	NOT IN- SPECTED	REMARK #
1.	Are containers in good condition?	/			
2.	Are containers compatible with waste in them?	V			
3.	Are containers stored closed?	/			
4.	Are containers managed to prevent leaks?	/			
5.	Are containers inspected weekly for leaks and defects?	√			
6.	hre ignitable & reactive wastes stored at least 15 meters (50 feet) from the facility property line?	<u> </u>			-
7.	Are incompatible wastes stored in separate containers? (If not, the provisions of 40 CFR 265.17(b) apply.)			44	
8.	Are containers of incompatible wastes separated or protected from each other physical barriers or sufficient distance?			MA	
7 (acilities which store hazardous waste in tanks (Subpart	J)			
1.	Are tanks used to store only those wastes which will not cause corrosion, leakage or premature failure of the tank?				
2.	Do uncovered tanks have at least 60 cm (2 feet) of freeboard, or dikes or other containment structures?			μA	
	Continued on next page				

			1.5	SPECTED	NLTIAKK =
3.	Do continuous feed systems have a waste-feed cutoff?			NA	
4	Are waste analyses done before the tanks are used to store a substantially different waste than before?			NA	
5.	Are required daily and weekly inspections done?	/			
6.	Are reactive & ignitable wastes in tanks protected or rendered non-reactive or non-ignitable? (If waste is rendered non-reactive or non-ignitable, see treatment requirements.)			\~F	
7.	Are incompatible wastes stored in separate tanks? (If not, the provisions of 40 CFR 265.17(b) apply.)			NA	
C. F	acilities which store hazardous waste in surface impoun	dments	(Sub;	part K)	
1.	Do surface impoundments have at least 60 cm (2 feet) of freeboard?				·
2.	Do earthen dikes have protective cover?		,		
3.	Are waste analyses done when the impoundment is used to store a substantially different waste than before?				
4.	Is the freeboard level inspected at least daily?				
5.	Are the dikes inspected weekly for evidence of leaks or deterioration?				
6.	Are reactive & ignitable wastes rendered non-reactive or non-ignitable before storage in a surface impoundment? (If waste is rendered non-reactive or non-ignitable, see treatment requirements.)				
7.	Are incompatible wastes stored in different impoundments? (If not, the provisions of 40 CFR 265.17(b) apply.)				-
D. F	acilities which store hazardous waste in waste piles (S	ubpart	L)		
1.	Are waste piles covered or protected from the wind?	1			
2.	Is each in-coming movement of waste analyzed before being added to the waste pile?				
3.	Are leachate, run-off, and run-on controlled? (The effective date of this provision is Nov. 19, 1981.)				
4.	Are reactive & ignitable wastes rendered non- reactive or non-ignitable before storage in a pile? (If waste is rendered non-reactive or non-ignitable, see treatment requirements.)				

	·	Yes	No	Not Inspected	See Ren Number
	s the Regional Administrator en notified regarding:				
1.	Receipt of hazardous waste from a foreign source?		<u>.</u>	MA NA	<u> </u>
2.	Transfer of Ownership?	•		NA	-
Ge	neral Waste Analysis:				
1.	Has the owner operator obtained a detailed chemical and physical analysis of the waste?			• .	
2.	Does the owner operator have a detailed waste analysis plan on file at the facility?		<u>/</u>		<u>lı</u>
3. ·	Does the waste analysis plan specify procedures for inspection and analysis of each movement of hazardous waste from off-site?	√	-	JAK	<u></u>
S e	curity - Do security measures include:		/		
1.	24-Hour Surveillance?	•			1
2.	Artificial or Natural Barrier Around Facility?			-	
. 3.	Controlled Entry?		<u> </u>		
4	Danger Sign(s) at . Entrance?				
Do 1 n	Owner Operator Inspections oclude:	_			
١.	Records of Malfunctions?	*/			_3_
2.	Records of Operator Error?	<u> </u>			3
3.	Records of Discharges?			•	_3
4.	Inspection Schedule?		#	(mm-1-2-1-2-1-2-1-2-1-2-1-2-1-2-1-2-1-2-1	
5.	Safety, Emergency Equipment?	/			3
6.	Security Devices?	<u>/</u>			3
· 7.	Operating and Structural Devices?	<u> </u>		*******************************	3
8.	Inspection Log?		3	-	4
	•				·

	•				Inspected	Number
(E)	To Personnel Training Records Include:					
	1. Job Titles?					5
	2. Description of Training?	<u>/</u>				5 :
	3. Records of Training?				•	5
	Is Personnel Training Completed within the Required Time Frame?				NA	
· <u>(</u> F)	Are the Following Special Requirements for Ignitable, Reactive, or Incompatible Wastes Addressed?	/				
	1. Special Handling?				-	<u> </u>
	2. No Smoking Signs?					6
•	3. Separation and Confinement?	<u> </u>	٠		•	<u>b</u> .
٠	IV. PREPAREDNE	SS AND	PREVEI	МОСТЯ		
(A)	Maintenance and Operation of Facility:	•				
•	1. Is there any evidence of fire, Explosion, or release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituent?			<u>√</u>		
(B)	Does the Facility have the Following Equipment:	,				
	1. Alarm System?					7
	2. Telephone or 2-Way Radios?	V		٠.		_7
•	3. Portable fire extinguishers, fire control, spill control equipment and decontamination equipment?	<u>/</u>				
•	Indicate the volume of water and/	or foam	avai'	lable fo	r fire control;	-
	Units:			· 		
						